

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,336	06/22/2001	Robert E. Dvorak	BLFR 1004-1	7227	
22470 HAYNES BEI	7590 03/24/2008 FFEL & WOLFELD LLP		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 366			VAN DOREN, BETH		
HALF MOON	BAY, CA 94019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/888,336
 DVORAK ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 BETH VAN DOREN
 3623

•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BETH VAN DOREN	3623					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Ms. Beth Van Doren (PTO Personnel).	(3)						
(2) Mr. Ernest Beffel (Applicant's Representative).	(4)						
Date of Interview: 14 March 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 36.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Garg (US 6044357).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Beffel and Ms. Van Doren discussed claim 36 in terms of what Mr. Beffel felt was the novelty of the application and what Garg lacked. Examiner suggested more clearly relating the elements of the claim to show what specifically is occuring with respect to the inventory and the budget. Examiner awaits action by applicant.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTEI requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO				
	/Beth Van Doren/						
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36	323					
	Examiner's signature, if requi						